

United States Patent and Trademark Office

m

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,725	09/08/2003	Louis C. Smith	AVSI-0010 P1 (108328.0015	8903
25555 JACKSON WA	7590 03/15/2007 AIKERIIP	EXAMINER		
901 MAIN STREET SUITE 6000 DALLAS, TX 75202-3797			WILLIAMS, CATHERINE SERKE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
21.22.13, 111			3763	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/657,725	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Catherine S. Williams	3763				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
Period fo	• •		DATE WOLLD THE THE TAY (20) DAYO				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTED FOR REPORTED FOR REPORTED FOR REPORTED FOR THE MAILING IN INC. A STATE OF THE MAILING IN ITEM TO TH	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>18 December 2006</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 and 27 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachmen	ıt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	~ <i>,</i>	formal Patent Application				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :8/31/05;5/19/05;9/13/04;8/18/04.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 12/18/06 is acknowledged.

Claims 20-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 12/18/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-7,11-13 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rubinsky et al (USPN 6,387,671). Rubinsky discloses an electrode assembly having a plurality of needle electrodes; a current waveform generator; a power source and a controller. See figure 7; 4:38-57; 10:44-45,52; 11:20-36; 13:45-63; and 14:26-34,59-63.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubinsky. Rubinsky meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to disclose a communications port specifically an optical serial port or an infrared port. However, wireless communication is well known in the medical device art in general and is provided in order to make use of the device easier for the patient and medical technician.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to incorporate an optical serial port or an IR port into the invention of Rubinsky. These devices are well known in the art and the motivation for the incorporation would have been known generally by one skilled in the art. The incorporation would have been done in order to make the use of the device easier for the patient and medical technician thereby enhancing the device in general.

Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubinsky in view of Hofmann (USPN 5,318,514). Rubinsky meets the claim limitations as described above but fails to disclose that the electrode assembly has a handle with a mounting structure and an activation switch. Hofmann clearly discloses a handle (24) with a mounting structure (see figure 3) and an activation switch (34).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to incorporate the handle of Hofman into the invention of Rubinasky. Rubinsky fails to disclose a specific structure for the needle electode assembly. The motivation for the incorporation of the handle of Hofmann into

Art Unit: 3763

the invention of Rubinsky would have been done in order to carry out the device and method as taught by Rubinsky.

Claims 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubinsky in view of Hofmann. Rubinsky in view of Hofmann meets the claim limitaitions as described above but fails to include a LED on the handle. LEDs are well known in the medical device art as status indicators that indicate to the medical technician if a device is powered on or off.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious by one skilled in the art to incorporate an LED into the handle. The motivation for the incorporation would have been done in order to make the device easier to use for the medical technician.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rubinsky in view of Hofmann et al (USPN 5,702,359). Rubinsky meets the claim limitations as described above (see claim 1) but fails to disclose that the plurality of needle electrodes have a central channel. However, Hofmann discloses such a configuration with at least some of the needles having lumens for drug delivery. Hofmann discloses that this configuration is for enhanced performance of the device.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious by one skilled in the art to use the electrode array of Hofmann in the device of Rubinsky. Rubinsky fails to disclose a specific structure for the needle electode assembly. The motivation for the incorporation of the electrode assembly of Hofmann into the invention of Rubinsky would have been done in order to carry out the device and method as taught by Rubinsky with the enhanced design of Hofmann.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,725 Page 5

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine S. Williams whose telephone number is 571/2724970.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571/2724977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Catherine S. Williams

athuine S. William

March 7, 2007

CATHERINE S. WILLIAMS
PRIMARY EXAMINER